


**LCP, Seveso II and E-PRTR**  
**Training module of ECENA**  
**Training workshop**  
**March 17-21, 2008**  
**Bristol, UK**



**1. The three most important goals/expectations you had for this training programme were:**

- a) learn legislation regarding relevant subjects on environment
- b) to have site experience and witnessing an inspection
- c) preparation of Safety Reports, Emergency Plans, MAPP
- d) inspection System of Seveso II Installations
- e) reporting and monitoring
- f) practical learning from site visits
- g) experience from other colleagues from countries
- h) knowledge about E-PRTR
- i) more clarification regarding LCP and Seveso requirements
- j) to see the E-PRTR implementation in UK
- k) to understand the institutional structure of the UK and understand the permit – inspection – monitoring system in the UK
- l) to learn how to improve our system
- m) gain information on environmental structures of UK and Ireland
- n) to hear and learn as much as possible about Seveso II directive
- o) change experience with other ECENA countries




**Comments:**

- a) I have seen in the training programme that preparation risk assessment of safety reports are very important.
- b) Site visits about Seveso II. was very useful but it was just like a visit tour then real inspection.
- c) It would be very nice to do an inspection including all aspects of Seveso II requirements



**Comments:**


- a) - I am thankful to coordination team of ECENA and REC. Some advances can be done regarding joint inspections.
- b) It was very packed with huge directives and many site visits but training went perfect. It was very informative.
- c) very useful
- d) - Seveso – too advanced. I like more proactive approach.




**Comments:**

- a) The presentations (for instance on risk assessment) had a large amount of information, but since the time was limited some of them have been presented too fast.
- b) Personally for me is ok. It depends on previous experience and level of knowledge.
- c) One day training and just after that a site visit related to that training was really ok. My only concern is that site visits can be a little bit more real inspection.


**5. How did you feel about the length of the training programme for being away from your normal work?**




**5. How did you feel about the length of the training programme for being away from your normal work?**



**6. How would you evaluate the number of presentations and activities planned for this training programme ?**




**6. How would you evaluate the number of presentations and activities planned for this training programme ?**




**Comments:**

- a) Too long!
- b) Schedule of the work was quite good.

**7. How do you rate the quality of the presentations (contents, presentation, presenters, etc)?**




**7. How do you rate the quality of the presentations (contents, presentation, presenters, etc)?**



**Comments:**



- a) Some of them are really much informative (I mean they are quite difficult to digest in one presentation).
- b) Some presentations had too many information however they were presented very quickly
- c) Couple of general slides overlapped with presentations of the Szentendre training.
- d) Session 4 and 5 were very technical and difficult to understand.



**Comments:**

a) In Environment Agency of England and Wales I saw everything what I expected!

b) Information supply by companies were good based on factory history. But regarding compliance history was not sufficient.



**Comments:**

a) As always, ECENA logistical arrangements were excellent. Thank you.

**10. What would you have done differently in the training programme both in contents and logistics?**

- a) Shorter presentations focused on concrete manner of transposition and implementation of the requirements of relevant EU directives.
- b) Nothing
- c) Maybe to see some process industry - SEVESO `upper tier` installation
- d) More basic and concrete presentations
- e) The hotel to be more near to the city center
- f) Arrange more visits of the place where we are staying
- g) More practical, not theoretical like we heard during EPRTTR sessions
- h) Smaller group with more proactive approach
- i) Perform real inspection of installation
- j) Work in small groups, preparation of inspection report
- k) During site visit to VOPAK I would engage an inspector from Environmental Agency to present directly the way of doing and inspection
- l) Maybe site visits can be arranged as real inspections, but other parts were perfect.

**11. What specific knowledge gained during this training programme could you use in your home country?**

- a) As a permit writer I will share all the materials taken from here with all my colleagues, permit writers and my director.
- b) About SEVESO II Directive I learned: Safety Reports, MAPP, SMG and inspections
- c) Good organization.
- d) Increase quality of inspection control
- e) In the process of preparation of new legislation, I will use this knowledge and experience.
- f) Everything regarding the LCP installation
- g) Information about SEVESO inspection in UK - How it works (strategy, organization, etc.)
- h) UK practice (Seveso II - COMAH)
- i) I and my colleagues will have a chance to train our inspectors with these presentations and information under EPTISA project.
- j) Data preparation at the Agency.
- k) Planning of inspections.
- l) The structure of the Environment Agency of England and Wales.
- m) I and my colleagues will have a chance to train our inspectors with these presentations and information under EPTISA project.
- n) Especially IPPC implementation is very complex. After the training program we understand that structure is very important for effective and efficient work.
- o) If we want to be successful in implementation we have to set up good database system.
- p) We have not established our Agency yet and we have seen the Agency in the UK and its functioning. We may implement these in our country.

**12. How do you plan to share this knowledge in your home country? Please describe at least three specific ways/occasions.**

- a) To prepare a report
- b) To make presentations for the staff of our department.
- c) To write an article to be published in the publications of the Ministry of Environment and Forestry.
- d) We are planning a case study (workshop) with relevant staff in the Ministry where we are preparing presentations to share knowledge.
- e) Training program on national and local level.
- f) Establishment of EPA in my country.
- g) Improving the text of the new Law on Environment concerning the chapter dealing with Seveso II directive.
- h) Transposition of LCP Directive into the sub-law.
- i) To give materials from the training to my colleagues and to tell them what was discussed on the training also to organize some workshops for inspectors and operators.
- j) Preparing guidelines
- k) Continuous progress monitoring.
- l) Workshops for the inspectors, visiting LCP and SEVESO installations, make changes in the everyday work.
- m) Share the materials.
- n) We will try to implement some of good examples we have seen here.